Department of Computer and Information Science July 2021

This document can optionally be used by the second examiner to grade the Master Thesis. It is provided here for students to gain an insight into which aspects might be relevant in a Master Thesis and can be used as a guide for talking with the supervisor about the requirements of the Master Thesis.





Master Thesis Evaluation Form: Second Review

Student	name:	Student ID number:						
Title of t	the thesis:							
Reviewe	er:							
Introduction: Does the introduction motivate the goals of the thesis and explain the context of the work? Does it point to existing solutions and their limitations? Is the research question formulated clearly and does the contribution become clear?					Very good		Fail	N/A
Related Work/Background: Does the thesis describe relevant related work and relevant background information in appropriate number and detail?								
Theoretical Analysis and Practical Description Is the proposed solution to the problem (e.g. theoretical approach, experiment, implementation) well described? Are proofs and theoretical analysis carried out carefully?								
Evaluation and Discussion: Have sensible, well-justified experiments been performed? Are the results compared to the results of similar approaches? Are the obtained results discussed with respect to the original goals of the thesis?								
<u>Conclusion:</u> Does the conclusion state the results concisely and does it explain their significance? Do the results justify the conclusions? Does it restate limitations and open questions?								
Formality and Writing Style: Is the thesis well written, easy to read and is appropriate wording used? Are graphs, tables, formulas etc. well displayed? Is the overall thesis and the single chapters and paragraphs well-structured? Are all references cited correctly and the bibliography formatted properly?								
Working Style/Practical Skills: Does the thesis reflect an independent working style? Does it reflect critical and original thinking? Was the developed code correct, documented and efficient?								
Comme	nts:							
Grade:	very good (sehr gut): good (gut): satisfactory (befriedigend): sufficient (ausreichend): fail (nicht ausreichend):	☐ 1,0 ☐ 1,7 ☐ 2,7 ☐ 3,7 ☐ 5,0	☐ 1,3 ☐ 2,0 ☐ 3,0 ☐ 4,0	☐ 2,3 ☐ 3,3				
Date:		Signatur	e Reviewe	er:				





Student name:								
Further comments:								